## 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON 8 AT SEATTLE 9 SUPERCELL OY, CASE NO. C15-1119JLR 10 Plaintiff, ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE 11 v. 12 ROTHSCHILD DIGITAL MEDIA 13 INNOVATIONS, LLC, 14 Defendant. 15 16 Before the court is Defendant Rothschild Digital Media Innovations, LLC's 17 ("RDMI") June 4, 2018, status report. (6/4/18 Rep. (Dkt. # 54).) The court stayed this 18 matter on July 28, 2016, pending *inter partes* review of the patent at issue by the Patent 19 Trial and Appeal Board ("the PTAB") of the United States Patent and Trademark Office. 20 (See 7/28/16 Order (Dkt. # 37) at 13.) On December 13, 2017, the Federal Circuit 21 affirmed the PTAB's Final Written Decision (2/28/18 Rep. (Dkt. # 49) at 2), and based

on the Federal Circuit's decision, on March 8, 2018, the court ordered the parties to

22

1 propose a recommendation for resolving this matter (3/8/18 Order (Dkt. # 50) at 2). The 2 parties proposed that the court continue the stay pending RDMI's petition for writ of 3 certiorari to the United States Supreme Court. (Pl. Resp. (Dkt. # 51) at 2; Def. Resp. 4 (Dkt. # 52) at 2.) On March 20, 2018, the court continued the stay until the Supreme 5 Court issued a decision on RDMI's petition. (See 3/20/18 Order (Dkt. # 53) at 3.) In its June 4, 2018, report, RDMI informs the court that on May 21, 2018, the 6 7 Supreme Court denied RDMI's petition. (6/4/18 Rep. at 2.) Accordingly, the court 8 ORDERS the parties to show cause no later than Tuesday, June 12, 2018, why the court 9 should not lift the stay in this matter. In addition, the court directs the parties to propose 10 a course of action for resolving the case in the event the court lifts the stay. If the parties 11 agree, they may file a joint response of no more than five (5) pages. Otherwise, the 12 parties may file separate responses of no more than five (5) pages each. 13 Dated this 5th day of June, 2018. 14 m R. Rlin 15 JAMES L. ROBART 16 United States District Judge 17 18 19 20 21

22